### Introduction to Computational Linguistics

**Frank Richter** 

fr@sfs.uni-tuebingen.de.

Seminar für Sprachwissenschaft Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen Germany

### What is in a State

#### **Definition 4**

Given a DFA M =  $(\Sigma, Q, i, F, \delta)$ ,

a state of M is triple (x, q, y)

where  $q \in Q$  and  $x, y \in \Sigma^*$ 

### The directly derives relation

### **Definition 5 (directly derives)**

Given a DFA  $(\Sigma, Q, i, F, \delta)$ ,

a state (x, q, y) *directly derives* state (x', q', y'):  $(x, q, y) \vdash (x', q', y')$  iff

1. there is  $\sigma \in \Sigma$  such that  $y = \sigma y'$  and  $x' = x\sigma$  (i.e. the reading head moves right one symbol  $\sigma$ )

**2.** 
$$\delta(q,\sigma) = q'$$

### The *derives* relation

#### **Definition 6 (derives)**

Given a DFA  $(\Sigma, Q, i, F, \delta)$ ,

a state A *derives* state B:

 $(x,q,y) \vdash * (x',q',y')$  iff

there is a sequence  $S_0 \vdash S_1 \vdash \cdots \vdash S_k$ 

such that  $A = S_0$  and  $B = S_k$ 

## Acceptance

#### **Definition 7 (Acceptance)**

Given a DFA  $M = (\Sigma, Q, i, F, \delta)$  and a string  $x \in \Sigma^*$ , *M* accepts *x* iff

there is a  $q \in F$  such that  $(0, i, x) \vdash *(x, q, 0)$ .

## Language accepted by M

#### **Definition 8 (Language accepted by M)**

Given a DFA  $M = (\Sigma, Q, i, F, \delta)$ , the language L(M) accepted by M is the set of all strings accepted by M.

## **Example of String Acceptance**

Let  $M = (\{a, b\}, \{q_0, q_1, q_2\}, q_0, \{q_1\}, \{((q_0, a), q_1), ((q_0, b), q_1), ((q_1, a), q_2), ((q_1, b), q_2), ((q_2, a), q_2), ((q_2, b), q_2), \}).$ 

## **Example of String Acceptance**

Let  $M = (\{a, b\}, \{q_0, q_1, q_2\}, q_0, \{q_1\}, \{((q_0, a), q_1), ((q_0, b), q_1), ((q_1, a), q_2), ((q_1, b), q_2), ((q_2, a), q_2), ((q_2, b), q_2), \}).$ 

M accepts a and b and nothing else, i.e.  $L(M) = \{a, b\}$ , since

 $(0, q_0, a) \vdash (a, q_1, 0)$  and  $(0, q_0, b) \vdash (b, q_1, 0)$ 

are the only derivations from a start state to a final state for M.

## **More Properties of FSAs**

Given the FSAs  $A, A_1$ , and  $A_2$  and the string w, the following properties are decidable:

Membership: $w \stackrel{?}{\in} L(A)$ Emptiness: $L(A) \stackrel{?}{=} \varnothing$ Totality: $L(A) \stackrel{?}{=} \Sigma^*$ Subset: $L(A_1) \stackrel{?}{\subseteq} L(A_2)$ Equality: $L(A_1) \stackrel{?}{=} L(A_2)$ 

# **Regular Expressions and Automata (1)**



# **Regular Expressions and Automata (2)**



### **The Finite State Utilities**

The FSA Utilities toolbox:

- a collection of utilities to manipulate regular expressions, finite-state automata (and finite-state transducers).
- implemented in Prolog by Gertjan van Noord, University of Groningen
- Home Page: http://odur.let.rug.nl/~vannoord/Fsa/
- command in the SfS network (on 'penthesilea'): fsa -tk

## **Reg. Expressions: Syntactic Extensions**

\$A co

contains

 $A =_{def} [?^* A ?^*]$ 

for example: \$[a | b] denotes all strings that contain at least one *a* or *b* somewhere.

- A & B Intersection
- A B Relative complement (minus)
- $\sim$  A Complement (negation)

# **The Bigger Picture**

#### **Definition 9 (Regular Languages)**

A language *L* is said to be *regular or recognizable* if the set of strings *s* such that  $s \in L$  are accepted by a DFA.

#### Theorem (Kleene, 1956)

The family of regular languages over  $\Sigma^*$  is equal to the smallest family of languages over  $\Sigma^*$  that contains the empty set, the singleton sets, and that is closed under Kleene star, concatenation, and union.

 $\Rightarrow$  The family of regular languages over  $\Sigma^*$  is equal to the family of languages denoted by the set of regular expressions.