

Introduction to Computational Linguistics

PD Dr. Frank Richter

fr@sfs.uni-tuebingen.de.

**Seminar für Sprachwissenschaft
Eberhard-Karls-Universität Tübingen
Germany**

Incremental Linguistic Analysis

- tokenization
- morphological analysis (lemmatization)
- part-of-speech tagging
- named-entity recognition
- partial chunk parsing
- full syntactic parsing
- semantic and discourse processing

Regular Expressions

Given an alphabet Σ of symbols the following are all and only the regular expressions over the alphabet $\Sigma \cup \{\emptyset, 0, |, *, [,]\}$:

\emptyset empty set

0 the empty string $(\epsilon, [])$

σ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$

$[\alpha | \beta]$ union (for α, β reg.ex.) $(\alpha \cup \beta, \alpha + \beta)$

$[\alpha \beta]$ concatenation (for α, β reg.ex.)

$[\alpha^*]$ Kleene star (for α reg.ex.)

Meaning of Regular Expressions

$$L(\emptyset) = \emptyset$$

the empty language

$$L(0) = \{0\}$$

the empty-string language

$$L(\sigma) = \{\sigma\}$$

$$L([\alpha \mid \beta]) = L(\alpha) \cup L(\beta)$$

$$L([\alpha \beta]) = L(\alpha) \circ L(\beta)$$

$$L([\alpha^*]) = (L(\alpha))^*$$

Σ^* is called the universal language. Note that the universal language is given relative to a particular alphabet.

Remarks on Regular Expressions

- $\emptyset^* =_{def} \{0\}$
- The empty string, i.e., the string containing no character, is denoted by 0. The empty string is the neutral element for the concatenation operation. That is:
for any string $w \in \Sigma^*$: $w0 = 0w = w$
- Square brackets, [], are used for grouping expressions. Thus [A] is equivalent to A while (A) is not. We leave out brackets for readability if no confusion can arise.

Regular Expressions: Syntax

- () is (sometimes) used for optionality; e.g. (A) ; definable in terms of union with the empty string.
- ? denotes any symbol; $L(?) = \Sigma$
(our ? corresponds to # in the textbook by Kozen)
- A^+ denotes iteration; one or more concatenations of A. Equivalent to $A(A^*)$.
- Note the following simple expressions:
 - [] denotes the empty-string language
 - $?^*$ denotes the universal language
(corresponds to @ in Kozen)

Deterministic Finite-State Automata

Definition 1 (DFA) A deterministic FSA (DFA) is a quintuple $(\Sigma, Q, i, F, \delta)$ where

Σ is a finite set called *the alphabet*,

Q is a finite set of *states*,

$i \in Q$ is the *initial state*,

$F \subseteq Q$ the set of *final states*, and

δ is the transition function from $Q \times \Sigma$ to Q .

Finite-state Automata

Definition 2 (FSA) A finite-state automaton is a quintuple $(\Sigma, Q, i, F, \Delta)$ where

Σ is a finite set called *the alphabet*,

Q is a finite set of *states*,

$i \in Q$ is the *initial state*,

$F \subseteq Q$ the set of *final states*, and

$\Delta \subseteq Q \times (\Sigma \cup \{\epsilon\}) \times Q$ is the set of edges (the transition *relation*).

Nondeterministic Finite-state Automata

Definition 3 (NFA) A nondeterministic finite-state automaton is a quintuple $(\Sigma, Q, S, F, \Delta)$ where

Σ is a finite set called *the alphabet*,

Q is a finite set of *states*,

$S \subseteq Q$ is the set of *initial states*,

$F \subseteq Q$ the set of *final states*, and

$\Delta \subseteq Q \times \Sigma^* \times Q$ is the set of edges
(the transition *relation*).

Some Important Properties of FSAs (1)

- **Determinization:** For every nondeterministic finite-state automaton there exists an equivalent deterministic automaton.
- **Minimization:** For every nondeterministic finite-state automaton there exists an equivalent deterministic automaton with a minimal number of states.

What is in a State

Definition 4

Given a DFA $M = (\Sigma, Q, i, F, \delta)$,

a *state of M* is triple (x, q, y)

where $q \in Q$ and $x, y \in \Sigma^*$

The *directly derives* relation

Definition 5 (directly derives)

Given a DFA $(\Sigma, Q, i, F, \delta)$,

a state (x, q, y) *directly derives* state (x', q', y') :

$(x, q, y) \vdash (x', q', y')$ iff

1. there is $\sigma \in \Sigma$ such that $y = \sigma y'$ and $x' = x\sigma$ (i.e. the reading head moves right one symbol σ)
2. $\delta(q, \sigma) = q'$

The *derives* relation

Definition 6 (derives)

Given a DFA $(\Sigma, Q, i, F, \delta)$,

a state A *derives* state B :

$(x, q, y) \vdash^* (x', q', y')$ iff

there is a sequence $S_0 \vdash S_1 \dots S_k$

such that $A = S_0$ and $B = S_k$

Acceptance

Definition 7 (Acceptance)

Given a DFA $M = (\Sigma, Q, i, F, \delta)$ and a string $x \in \Sigma^*$,
M accepts x iff

there is a $q \in F$ such that $(0, i, x) \vdash^*(x, q, 0)$.

Language accepted by M

Definition 8 (Language accepted by M)

Given a DFA $M = (\Sigma, Q, i, F, \delta)$, the language $L(M)$ accepted by M is the set of all strings accepted by M .