Introduction to Computational Linguistics

Frank Richter

fr@sfs.uni-tuebingen.de.

Seminar für Sprachwissenschaft Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen Germany

What Makes Machine Translation Hard

Lexical Ambiguity

What Makes Machine Translation Hard

Lexical Ambiguity

Lexical Gaps

What Makes Machine Translation Hard

- Lexical Ambiguity
- Lexical Gaps
- Syntactic Divergences between Source and Target Language

Problems: Word-to-Word Translations

English – German

The ticket officein the train stationDer FahrkartenschalterimBahnhof

öffnet wieder um ein Uhr. re-opens at one o'clock.

Lexical Ambiguity: Open (1)

English

in store door on new building

open door open golf tourney open question

open job open morning open football player

German

Offen Neu eröffnet

Tür öffnen Golfspiel eröffnen offene Frage

freie Stelle freier Morgen freier Fussballspieler

Lexical Ambiguity: Open (2)

English

loose ice blank endorsement private firm unfortified town blank cheque to unbutton a coat

German

offenes Eis offenes Giro offene Handelsgesellschaft offene Stadt offener Wechsel einen Mantel öffnen

Structural Divergence (1)

English – German

Max likes to swim. NP VFIN INF

Max schwimmt gerne. NP VFIN ADV

Structural Divergence (2)

Russian – English

Jego zovut Julian. Him they call Julian. They call him Julian.

Japanese – English

Kinoame ga futa.Yesterday rainfell.It was raining yesterday.

Differences in Word Order

English – German

Does it make sense to translate Macht es Sinn

documents automatically ? Dokumente automatisch zu übersetzen ?

MT: The Weaver Memo (1)

Translation and Context

If one examines the words in a book, one at a time as through an opaque mask with a hole in it one word wide, then it is obviously impossible to determine, one at a time, the meaning of the words.

MT: The Weaver Memo (1)

Translation and Context

If one examines the words in a book, one at a time as through an opaque mask with a hole in it one word wide, then it is obviously impossible to determine, one at a time, the meaning of the words.

But if one lengthens the slit in the opaque mask, until one sees not only the central word in question but also say N words on either side, then if N is large enough one can unambiguously decide the meaning of the central word.

MT: The Weaver Memo (2)

Translation and Context

The practical question is: "What minimum value of N will, at least, in a tolerable fraction of cases, lead to the correct choice of meaning for the central word?"

MT: The Weaver Memo (2)

Translation and Context

The practical question is: "What minimum value of N will, at least, in a tolerable fraction of cases, lead to the correct choice of meaning for the central word?"

Translation and Cryptography

... it is very tempting to say that a book written in Chinese is simply a book written in English which was coded into the "Chinese code".

MT: The Weaver Memo (3)

Translation and Language Universals (Invariants) ... there are certain invariant properties which are, again not precisely, but to some statistically useful degree, common to all languages.

MT: The Weaver Memo (3)

Translation and Language Universals (Invariants) ... there are certain invariant properties which are, again not precisely, but to some statistically useful degree, common to all languages. Thus may it be true that the way to translate Chinese to Arabic or from Russian to Portuguese, is not to attempt the direct route ... but down to the common base of human communication – the real but yet undiscovered universal language and then to re-emerge by whatever particular route is convenient.

Word-to-Word (Direct) Translation

- Word-to-Word (Direct) Translation
- Syntactic Transfer

- Word-to-Word (Direct) Translation
- Syntactic Transfer
- Semantic Transfer

- Word-to-Word (Direct) Translation
- Syntactic Transfer
- Semantic Transfer
- Interlingua Approach

- Word-to-Word (Direct) Translation
 - simplest approach:

- Word-to-Word (Direct) Translation
 - simplest approach:
 - may require only an electronic, bi-lingual dictionary

- Word-to-Word (Direct) Translation
 - simplest approach:
 - may require only an electronic, bi-lingual dictionary
 - depending on the source and target languages and the dictionary, minimal morphological analysis and generation may be required.

- Word-to-Word (Direct) Translation
 - simplest approach:
 - may require only an electronic, bi-lingual dictionary
 - depending on the source and target languages and the dictionary, minimal morphological analysis and generation may be required.
 - no use of syntactic or semantic knowledge

Syntactic Transfer

- Syntactic Transfer
 - requires syntactic analysis of the source language

- Syntactic Transfer
 - requires syntactic analysis of the source language
 - requires a syntactic parser

Syntactic Transfer Trees

An Example of a Transfer Tree for English *like* and French *plaire*



Syntactic Transfer Trees (2)

An Example of a Transfer Tree for English *like to* $\langle V \rangle$ and German $\langle V \rangle$ *gern*



- Semantic Transfer
 - requires syntactic and semantic analysis of the source language

Semantic Transfer

- requires syntactic and semantic analysis of the source language
- requires language-dependent meaning representation language

Semantic Transfer

- requires syntactic and semantic analysis of the source language
- requires language-dependent meaning representation language
- language-dependent rules that relate source language meaning representations to target language meaning representations

Semantic Transfer

- requires syntactic and semantic analysis of the source language
- requires language-dependent meaning representation language
- language-dependent rules that relate source language meaning representations to target language meaning representations
- requires language generation component which maps target language meaning representations to output sentences

Semantic Transfer

 synthesis typically performed in two stages: semantic synthesis (resulting in syntactic trees) and morphological synthesis (resulting in strings of inflected word forms).

- Interlingua Approach
 - source language input is mapped to a language-neutral (quasi-universal) meaning representation language

Interlingua Approach

- source language input is mapped to a language-neutral (quasi-universal) meaning representation language
- requires syntactic and semantic analysis of the source language into interlingua

Interlingua Approach

- source language input is mapped to a language-neutral (quasi-universal) meaning representation language
- requires syntactic and semantic analysis of the source language into interlingua
- requires language generation component which maps interlingua to output sentences

Interlingua Approach

- source language input is mapped to a language-neutral (quasi-universal) meaning representation language
- requires syntactic and semantic analysis of the source language into interlingua
- requires language generation component which maps interlingua to output sentences
- synthesis typically performed in two stages: semantic synthesis from the interlingua (resulting in syntactic trees) and morphological synthesis (resulting in strings of inflected word forms).

Interlingua Representation for Motion Verbs

He walked across the road. Il traversa la rue a pied.

```
[\mathsf{Pred} = \langle \mathsf{MOTION} \rangle]
  TENSE = PAST
 AGENT = \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{PRED} = \mathsf{PRON} \\ \mathsf{NUM} = \mathsf{SING} \\ \mathsf{PERS} = 3 \\ \mathsf{SEX} = \mathsf{MALE} \end{bmatrix}
  \mathsf{INSTR} = \left[\mathsf{PRED} = \langle \mathsf{FOOT} \rangle\right]
 \left| \text{Loc} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{PRED} = \langle \mathsf{CROSS} \rangle \\ \mathsf{OBJ} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{PRED} = \langle \mathsf{ROAD} \rangle \end{bmatrix} \right|
```

Interlingua Representation for Motion Verbs (2)

```
from Gatwick.
They flew
Ils partirent par avion de Gatwick.
\left[\mathsf{PRED} = \langle \mathsf{MOTION} \rangle\right]
 TENSE = PAST
AGENT = \begin{bmatrix} PRED = PRON \\ NUM = PLUR \\ PERS = 3 \end{bmatrix}
 INSTR = \begin{bmatrix} PRED = \langle PLANE \rangle \end{bmatrix}
```

Interlingua Representation for Verbs (1)

English *wall* German *Wand* (inside a building) *Mauer* (outside)

English *river* French

riviere (general term) *fleuve* (major river, flowing into sea)

Interlingua Representation for Verbs (2)

English *leg* Spanish *pierna* (human) *pata* (animal,table) *pie* (chair) *etapa* (of a journey)

> French jambe (human) patte (animal,insect) pied (chair,table) étape (journey)

Interlingua Representation for Verbs (3)

English *blue* Russian *goluboi* (pale blue) *sinii* (dark blue)

French *louer* English *hire* or *rent*

French *colombe* English *pigeon* or *dove* German *Taube*

German *leihen* English *borrow* or *lend*

Interlingua Representation for Verbs (4)

English *rice* Malay *padi* (unharvested grain) *beras* (uncooked) *nasi* (cooked) *emping* (mashed) *pulut* (glutinous) *bubor* (cooked as a gruel)

Interlingua Representation for Verbs (5)

English wear Japanesekiru (generic)haoru (coat or jacket)haku (shoes or trousers)kaburu (hat)hameru (ring or gloves)shimeru (belt or tie or scarf)tsukeru (brooch or clip)kakeru (glasses or necklace)

The Vauquois Triangle



Modules required in an all-pairs MTS

Number of languages	Analysis modules	Generation modules	Transfer modules	Total modules
2	2	2	2	6
3	3	3	6	12
4	4	4	12	20
5	5	5	20	30
9	9	9	72	90
n	n	n	n(n-1)	n(n+1)